

Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]
Sent: 8/7/2017 11:28:11 PM
To: Karla Brasaemle (kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com) [kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com]
CC: ibalkissoon@techlawinc.com; Pang, Tiffanie [Pang.Tiffanie@epa.gov]; LINCOFF, MEILING [Lincoff.Meiling@epa.gov]
Subject: Changes to this TDM FW: TDM - extensions to rad analysis
Attachments: HP_20170208_Posters.pdf

Dear Karla,

I will be separately sending you another TDM to request that you read excerpts from the exhibits for the NRC petition. I would like you to read those 1st before scoring any more survey units for history/allegations. Therefore I want to extend the deadlines for that work by a week

Extend item 2c based on priority:

8/18 – Parcel G

9/1 – Parcel UC-1, 2, and 3, Parcel D-2

9/8 – for all other parcels affected

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2017 4:13 PM
To: Karla Brasaemle (kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com) <kbrasaemle@techlawinc.com>
Cc: ibalkissoon@techlawinc.com; Pang, Tiffanie <Pang.Tiffanie@epa.gov>; LINCOFF, MEILING <Lincoff.Meiling@epa.gov>
Subject: TDM - extensions to rad analysis

Due to recent events related to Hunters Point, I would like to extend the previous TDM below.

Item 1 below Extension to 7/21

1. I'd like to create a broader set of comments to the Navy regarding its review of buildings and what to consider when they look for signs of falsification by Tetra Tech. Due 7/17/2017, Please add to previous writeup from Jana's 2016 analysis to address some topics from the 6/27 presentation you heard about buildings:
 - a. Add a summary describing concerns about missing statics data that you would have expected. Please quote from the workplan a description of the requirement. Please estimate how many locations in SU 7 Bldg 271 that should have exceeded the threshold level to have required followup static measurements.
 - b. Please summarize calculations performed before about the Maximum scan speeds in the original scans that should have been able to detect the levels found later 2016 during rescans in SU7 Building 271.
 - c. Regarding the analysis already done showing 875 expected measurements vs 496 actual, please modify the previous writeup to address the discussion 6/27.
 - d. Please estimate the amount of time it could take to do similar analysis to the above calculations and writeups related to on the Bldg 406 data the Navy provided showing detections.

- e. Please give any other recommendations that you think of related to this topic.
2. I had previously sent you a TDM to review historical information to determine locations of priority for concern. I will extend the deadline for that work to 7/17/2017 because I'd like you to read a document with allegations and use that information to inform your review. Please give the score you were originally giving based on history. But please add another category of scoring called "allegations" to score any further survey units you may have new concerns based on the information described below:
- a. Yesterday a local nonprofit announced a petition to NRC about Hunters Point. <http://greenaction.org/>. It contains allegations from former workers about practices. Could you please go to this link and read the petition? I would like you to have the specific allegations in mind as you review the data to help look for patterns that could be an indication of any of these forms of falsification of trenches, buildings, backfill, etc. DONE
 - b. In addition, Brianna has talked with the attorney who wrote the petition and asked for the exhibits. When she receives them, she will read them. Some of these may also contain information that will be useful to your review. If so, I will later ask you to read those. I may extend the deadline again depending on the contents. [Lily still needs to do this.]
 - c. Based on the above materials, please make additional recommendations for what the Navy should look for in its data evaluation and re-sampling process to look for falsification.

Extend item 2c based on priority:

7/21 - Parcel C and B

8/11 – Parcel G, Parcel UC-1, 2, and 3

8/25 – for all other parcels affected

Please note attached map showing areas affected by Tetra Tech EC

Lily Lee
Cleanup Project Manager
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518
For information on Superfund in general: www.epa.gov/region9/superfund
For information on Hunters Point Naval Shipyard: www.epa.gov/superfund/hunterspoint